

School of ITEE  
**CSSE7013 Advanced Computer Architecture**  
Project Final Paper Mark Scheme (35% of course)  
Semester 2, 2005

A few points to note:

1. Each section should start with an introduction summarising the big-picture issues, and ending with a breakdown of what is to follow.
2. You need to show how your topic fits the overall project and show that you have developed something beyond the original question. The literature review need not be as extensive as for a thesis but should be in the same style. Write it around key issues, *not* as a summary of 1 paper at a time.
3. Achievement will be judged on the basis of what you have presented. you need to make your contribution clear by citing enough references to show what prior knowledge is and how your work differs.
4. References should follow the guidelines on the review form; do not use many web references as their authority is questionable (often, a web-published paper in any case also exists as a reviewed publication in a good journal or conference).

Your talk could follow an outline of the following form (other options are possible):

**Abstract** (key issues, why interesting, key findings) – the abstract should not cite references or refer to the structure of the paper

**1. Introduction**

what you did, why and what the approach was  
key findings  
structure of rest of report

**2. Background**

terminology, applicable concepts  
related work (literature review)

**3. Approach to problem**

style of evaluation (simulation, or whatever applies)  
measurements planned and anticipated results  
success measure(s)

**4. Results and Discussion**

measurements  
analysis  
relate to planned outcomes

**5. Conclusions**

summary  
significance of outcomes  
potential future work  
overall findings

## Mark Sheet: CSSE7013 Project final paper

student name:		student number:				marker:		
grade band	presentation, structure 20%:	background 20%		results, conclusions 35%		achievement 25%		
<b>excellent (85-100%)</b>	clear writing, logical structure, good use of pictures, tables etc., few to no typos	20	clear fit to topic, good literature to back direction, good literature for background	20	clear results, clear how they were achieved, clear where it's gone, well-justified conclusions	35	significant advance on basic problem spec, clear what was done, good implementation	25
		19		19		34		24
		18		18		33		23
		17		17		32		22
		16		16		31		21
<b>very good (75-84%)</b>	clear writing, reasonable structure, reasonable use of pictures, tables etc., few typos	16	reasonable fit to topic, reasonable literature to back direction, good literature for background	16	clear results, reasonably clear how achieved, reasonably clear where it's gone, well-justified conclusions	29	reasonable advance on basic problem spec, reasonably clear what was done, reasonable implementation	21
		15		15		28		20
		14		14		27		19
<b>good (65-74%)</b>	reasonable writing, fair structure, fair use of pictures, tables etc., moderate typos	14	fair fit to topic, fair literature to back direction, fair literature for background	14	reasonably clear results, some idea how achieved, reasonably clear where it's gone, somewhat justified conclusions	25	fair advance on basic problem spec, fairly clear what was done, adequate implementation	18
		13		13		24		17
		12		12		23		16
<b>satisfactory (50-64%)</b>	fair writing, adequate structure, adequate use of pictures, tables etc., moderate typos	12	some fit to topic, some literature to back direction, some literature for background	12	unclear results, unclear how achieved, somewhat clear where it's gone somewhat justified conclusions	22	fair advance on basic problem spec, some idea of what was done, barely adequate implementation	16
		11		11		21		15
		10		10		20		14
		9		9		19		13
<b>poor (25-49%)</b>	poor writing, poor structure, poor use of pictures, tables etc., too many typos	9	little fit to topic, little literature to back direction, little literature for background	9	unclear results, unclear how achieved, unclear where it's gone, conclusions not backed up	17	little advance on basic problem spec, very unclear what was done, little implementation	12
		8		8		15		11
		7		7		13		10
		6		6		11		9
		5		5		9		8
<b>very poor (0-24%)</b>	very poor writing, very poor structure, very poor use of pictures, tables etc., far too many typos	4	no/minimal fit to topic, no/minimal literature to back direction, no/minimal literature for background	4	unclear to minimal results, unclear how they were achieved, gone nowhere, no or non-obvious conclusions	8	no significant advance on basic problem spec, very little evidence of anything done, no implementation	6
		3		3		6		5
		2		2		4		4
		1		1		2		3
		0		0		0		2
<b>Date:</b>		<b>Title:</b>						
<b>Total mark:</b>		<b>/100</b>	<b>Comments:</b>					